

SAP.C_DBADM.v2026-09-07.q97

Exam Code:	C_DBADM
Exam Name:	SAP Certified - Database Administrator - SAP HANA
Certification Provider:	SAP
Free Question Number:	97
Version:	v2026-09-07
# of views:	102
# of Questions views:	970
https://www.freecram.net/torrent/SAP.C_DBADM.v2026-09-07.q97.html	

NEW QUESTION: 1

CHALLENGE 4; Release Recoverability Evidence Gate Backups completed for the upgraded reporting system and the newly installed UAT database before testing began. Recovery validation for the UAT database is scheduled but not complete, and the upgraded system has only backup completion evidence. What should happen before final UAT sign-off supports release approval?

- A. pprove sign-off because completed backups are enough for release continuity.
- B. omplete recovery validation and document startup behavior for the release systems.
- C. erform recovery validation only if reporting becomes unavailable during UAT.
- D. eplace recovery validation with dashboard availability because this is not production cutover.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior should be completed before UAT sign-off supports release approval. Backup completion supports continuity planning, but it does not prove recoverability.

NEW QUESTION: 2

A regional building materials supplier uses SAP HANA for inventory availability analytics. The administrator reviews backup readiness after a storage maintenance activity. SAP HANA cockpit shows a successful backup entry, but the recovery checklist references a storage alias that was retired during the maintenance window. A manual file review confirms that the backup exists under the new alias. The operations manager wants to approve readiness because the backup file is present.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove the documented recovery path can retrieve the correct backup artifact. The team must not rely on manual discovery when the recovery checklist points to a retired location reference.

What should the administrator do next?

- A.** prove readiness because the backup exists under the new storage alias and can be found manually.
- B.** reate another backup and leave the checklist unchanged because the catalog will contain a newer entry.
- C.** pdate the recovery checklist to the active storage alias, validate retrieval through the documented path, and record the result.
- D.** estore from the manually found backup file and ignore the retired storage alias in the checklist.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the recovery documentation binding at the correct layer. Updating the active alias and validating retrieval through the checklist confirms that backup creation, location reference, recovery retrieval, and readiness evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 3

CHALLENGE 2; Traceability Workload Monitoring Baseline Late-shift lot-history aggregation shows variable response time during rollout testing. The plant operations manager asks for tuning before the next checkpoint, but the current monitoring baseline has not yet been captured. What should the database owner do first?

- A.** pply tuning immediately because late-shift reporting volume is business critical.
- B.** apture the monitoring baseline and validate threshold relevance before approving tuning.
- C.** isable late-shift monitoring so the next checkpoint focuses only on report completion.
- D.** ove the tuning decision to SAP HANA Cloud because analytics modernization is planned.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The database owner should capture the monitoring baseline and validate thresholds before tuning. This keeps the rollout evidence reliable before any performance change alters the observed state.

NEW QUESTION: 4

A regional industrial services firm completes an SAP HANA installation rehearsal for a new on-premises administration environment. The database starts successfully, and SAP HANA cockpit shows it as available. During validation, the administrator notices that the installation checklist confirms database startup but does not include verification that the administrative backup destination and monitoring collection were bound to the new system. The handover owner wants to accept the system because the installation log shows no error.

The constraint is that the administrator must confirm the installed database is administratively operable before handover. The decision must include evidence for routine controls, not only the installation log and startup state.

Which action best supports accepting the installation rehearsal?

- A.** ccept the rehearsal because the installation log has no error and the database starts successfully.

- B.** create a handover note stating that backup and monitoring controls will be verified after the first workload run.
- C.** restart the database and accept the handover if SAP HANA cockpit still shows the system as available.
- D.** find and validate the backup destination and monitoring collection for the new system before accepting handover.

Answer: D ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

This completes the post-installation operational binding before handover. Validating backup destination and monitoring collection confirms that installation, startup, administration controls, and acceptance evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 5

CHALLENGE 1; Post-Upgrade Configuration Consistency Review

The database owner wants to close a configuration item because users can access reports successfully. The administration record does not yet show whether a post-upgrade value is intentionally retained or left over from upgrade preparation. Which action best supports a controlled hypercare decision?

- A.** lose the item because user access confirms that the database is ready for business reporting.
- B.** compare the active post-upgrade value with the intended administration baseline before closure.
- C.** move the value review to the future cloud transition because the current database is on-premises.
- D.** replace the value with the cloud setting to simplify administration across the mixed landscape.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#)**)**

Feedback:

The active value should be compared with the intended post-upgrade administration baseline before closure. Hypercare closure should be based on verified configuration state, not only successful report access.

NEW QUESTION: 6

A regional agricultural cooperative is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The source export finishes, and the cloud target is reachable. During validation, the administrator sees that the imported dataset can be queried, but the migration record does not include confirmation that the cloud-side monitoring view has been added to the operations checklist. The project coordinator wants to approve the rehearsal because the data query succeeded.

The constraint is that the administrator must support phased modernization while ensuring the migrated target can be monitored after cutover. Approval must not rely only on data access from the cloud database.

What should the administrator recommend?

- A.** pprove the rehearsal because successful target queries prove that the SAP HANA Cloud migration is ready.
- B.** epeat the source export because missing monitoring evidence means the data movement probably failed.
- C.** dd and verify cloud-side monitoring evidence in the operations checklist, then approve the rehearsal only after target monitoring is confirmed.
- D.** efer monitoring checklist updates until after production cutover so the migration schedule is not delayed.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the post-migration operations gap at the target validation layer. Adding and verifying cloud-side monitoring evidence confirms that transfer, target query access, monitoring readiness, and approval evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 7

A renewable energy company is preparing a phased move from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The first rehearsal transfers a small administrative dataset successfully, and SAP HANA Cloud Central shows the target database as running. During post-migration review, database explorer checks on the target fail because the validation connection still uses the source system entry from the rehearsal workstation. The migration lead wants to approve the phase because the cloud target is active.

The constraint is that the administrator must validate the migrated target without confusing source connectivity with cloud validation. The phased migration should remain repeatable for later datasets.

Which action should the administrator take?

- A.** pprove the migration phase because SAP HANA Cloud Central confirms that the target database is running.
- B.** orrect the database explorer connection to the SAP HANA Cloud target, validate the migrated dataset there, and record the reusable validation step.
- C.** epeat the data transfer from the source system because a failed validation connection proves that the migration content did not move.
- D.** eep using the source connection and compare row counts because the source system remains the trusted baseline during rehearsal.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the validation binding before accepting the migration phase. Pointing database explorer to the cloud target and recording the step confirms that target availability, migrated content validation, and repeatable migration evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 8

CHALLENGE 3; Modernization Readiness Boundary Control The rollout coordinator asks for one readiness statement covering the current plant wave and future SAP HANA Cloud analytics migration. The plant systems have backup and monitoring evidence, while the cloud database has provisioning and limited test data only. Which action best supports accurate release planning?

- A. ombine the evidence into one status so stakeholders can review a single readiness outcome.
- B. eparate current plant wave readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness in the package.
- C. reat cloud provisioning as proof that the migration workstream is ready for reporting transition.
- D. elay the plant wave until the cloud database carries the same reporting workload.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The package should separate current plant wave readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness. These evidence sets support different lifecycle decisions and should not be merged into one conclusion.

NEW QUESTION: 9

CHALLENGE 4; Release Recoverability Evidence Gate The business sponsor asks whether the release decision can proceed because the systems are available and backups completed. The administration lead wants startup behavior after recovery documented first. Which response best fits the scenario?

- A. roceed because availability and backup completion together are enough for release evidence.
- B. omplete recovery validation and startup behavior documentation before final sign-off.
- C. roceed now and validate startup behavior during the later cloud migration phase.
- D. emove recovery evidence from the release package because UAT is not daily production.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior documentation should be completed before final sign-off. The scenario requires continuity evidence that goes beyond availability and backup completion.

NEW QUESTION: 10

CHALLENGE 2; Restoration Simulation Monitoring Baseline The monitoring dashboard shows acceptable daytime availability, but restoration simulation behavior varies after hours. The alert thresholds may still reflect the earlier operational reporting profile. Which action best supports a reliable UAT conclusion?

- A. onfirm threshold relevance for the restoration simulation workload before interpreting the dashboard trend.
- B. ncrease all alert thresholds so the second UAT run avoids unnecessary performance warnings.
- C. reat daytime availability as sufficient because the database remained reachable.

D. Use the after-hours workload first and update monitoring evidence after the release decision.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Threshold relevance should be confirmed before interpreting the dashboard trend. The scenario shows that inherited thresholds can distort how restoration simulation behavior is understood.

NEW QUESTION: 11

CHALLENGE 2; Dispatch Simulation Monitoring Baseline A performance specialist suggests applying tuning before preserving baseline evidence because the final cutover review needs better carrier exception dashboard response time. The database owner is concerned about later release comparison. Which decision is most appropriate?

- A.** Apply tuning first and document the original baseline as unavailable.
- B.** Reserve the current baseline, then document any tuning as a controlled follow-on change.
- C.** Cancel the final cutover review until all performance variability is eliminated.
- D.** Use SAP HANA Cloud test results to replace on-premises monitoring evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The current baseline should be preserved before tuning is documented as a controlled follow-on change. This keeps release comparison evidence understandable while still allowing performance improvement when justified.

NEW QUESTION: 12

CHALLENGE 1; Cutover Configuration Evidence Traceability The cutover coordinator wants to use the first comparison because carrier exception reports opened successfully. The database team later confirms that the upgraded distribution system's administration record does not reflect the configuration state used during testing. Which decision best supports release-quality evidence?

- A.** Use the comparison because report opening is sufficient evidence for release support.
- B.** Update the administration record and reassess the cutover comparison against the confirmed state.
- C.** Replace the upgraded system record with the SAP HANA Cloud provisioning record.
- D.** Treat the missing configuration evidence as unrelated because cutover testing focuses on reporting access.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The administration record should be updated and the cutover comparison reassessed against the confirmed state. This preserves the dependency between configuration evidence and the reporting conclusion used for release support.

NEW QUESTION: 13

A regional furniture manufacturer is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The source export completes, and the cloud database can be queried after import. During review, the administrator finds that the validation workbook records successful query checks but does not show that the cloud target was added to the routine monitoring rotation used by the operations team. The project lead wants approval because the migrated data is visible. The constraint is that the migration wave must prove that the target can be operated after import, not only queried. The validation pattern must remain repeatable for later SAP HANA Cloud migration waves.

Which recommendation is most appropriate?

- A.** approve the rehearsal because successful target queries prove that the imported data is available in SAP HANA Cloud.
- B.** add the cloud target to the operations monitoring rotation, validate monitoring evidence after import, and approve only after the check passes.
- C.** repeat the source export because missing monitoring rotation evidence indicates that the migration transfer was incomplete.
- D.** defer monitoring rotation setup until production cutover because query validation already proves target usability.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This completes the operational validation layer for the migrated target. Adding the cloud target to monitoring rotation and validating evidence after import aligns transfer completion, target access, monitoring coverage, and repeatable approval criteria.

NEW QUESTION: 14

CHALLENGE 2; Restoration Simulation Monitoring Baseline The UAT team has two viable actions: tune memory-related settings now to improve restoration analytics, or preserve monitoring evidence first and tune only if current evidence supports it. Which option best balances performance and evidence quality?

- A.** tune now and use the improved response time as the main release readiness measure.
- B.** reserve baseline monitoring evidence first, then approve tuning if current evidence supports it.
- C.** reject all tuning until the SAP HANA Cloud migration phase begins.
- D.** replace monitoring review with field manager feedback because the dashboard is user-facing.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Preserving baseline monitoring evidence first is most defensible because tuning should follow current evidence. This balances performance improvement with reliable UAT evidence for release comparison.

NEW QUESTION: 15

A regional hospitality group runs SAP HANA for occupancy and revenue analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but the operations team notices that a performance alert

appears only after the reporting peak has already passed. The monitoring history shows that the alert condition existed during the peak window, but the alert evaluation schedule was changed during an administration cleanup. Reports eventually complete, so the support team suggests accepting the current behavior.

The constraint is that the administrator must ensure monitoring supports timely operational response, not only after-the-fact review. The next reporting peak must be covered by alert evaluation that reflects the active workload window.

Which action best addresses the alert timing issue?

- A.** ccept the current monitoring behavior because reports eventually complete after the reporting peak.
- B.** aise the alert threshold so fewer delayed alerts appear in SAP HANA cockpit.
- C.** lign the alert evaluation schedule with the reporting peak window and validate that alerts trigger during the active workload period.
- D.** estart the database before the next peak so alert evaluation begins from a clean runtime state.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the monitoring schedule dependency. Aligning evaluation timing with the reporting peak and validating trigger behavior confirms that workload activity, alert evaluation, notification evidence, and operational response timing are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 16

A regional automotive parts supplier runs SAP HANA for supplier-delivery analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but a monitoring review shows repeated short memory pressure warnings during a nightly planning workload. The workload summary shows that the affected statements completed, but completion time increased during the same interval. A shift coordinator suggests suppressing the warning because no statement failed.

The constraint is that the administrator must decide whether the warning represents a workload condition that should remain visible. The monitoring setup must preserve evidence for the next nightly cycle before any suppression rule is changed.

Which action is most appropriate?

- A.** uppress the memory pressure warning because the affected statements completed successfully.
- B.** ompare the warning interval with workload completion time, preserve the warning, and investigate the memory-related condition before changing suppression.
- C.** estart SAP HANA before the next nightly cycle so the memory pressure warnings are cleared from the monitoring history.
- D.** ncrease the workload timeout setting because longer completion time is the only measurable symptom.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the monitoring-to-workload evidence layer. Correlating the warning interval with completion time and preserving the alert supports root-cause investigation before changing monitoring behavior.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: freecram)

NEW QUESTION: 17

CHALLENGE 1; Hypercare Configuration Record Traceability The hypercare coordinator wants to accept the comparison because meter-reading exception dashboards opened successfully. The database team later confirms that the upgraded service reporting system's administration record does not reflect the configuration state used during testing. Which decision best supports readiness-quality evidence?

- A. se the comparison because report opening is sufficient evidence for service readiness.
- B. pdate the administration record and reassess the hypercare comparison against the confirmed state.
- C. eplace the upgraded system record with the SAP HANA Cloud provisioning record.
- D. reat the missing configuration evidence as unrelated because hypercare focuses on dashboard access.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

The administration record should be updated and the hypercare comparison reassessed against the confirmed state. This preserves the dependency between configuration evidence and the reporting conclusion used for readiness support.

NEW QUESTION: 18

A regional consumer electronics repair company is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The migration tool completes the transfer, and database explorer confirms that representative queries return expected values on the cloud target. During validation, the administrator sees that the source system was monitored during export, but the cloud target was not included in the routine backup validation checklist after import. The project lead wants approval because data access was confirmed.

The constraint is that the migration wave must prove the cloud target can be operated after import. The approval evidence must include resilience readiness for the migrated state, not only query validation.

Which recommendation is most appropriate?

- A.** repeat the full transfer because missing target backup validation proves the migrated data was not imported correctly.
- B.** approve the rehearsal because representative cloud queries returned expected values after the transfer.
- C.** add the cloud target to the post-import backup validation checklist, run the validation for the migrated state, and approve only after evidence is recorded.
- D.** defer cloud backup validation until production cutover because the rehearsal already confirmed target query access.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This completes the target resilience validation layer after import. Adding the cloud target to backup validation and recording evidence aligns transfer completion, migrated-state operation, resilience readiness, and repeatable approval criteria.

NEW QUESTION: 19

A regional wholesale distributor runs SAP HANA in a mixed landscape: one on-premises production database and one SAP HANA Cloud database for newer workloads. After a storage maintenance window, the on-premises database starts, but business users report that the most recent sales corrections are missing. In the administration view, the database status is green, the last full backup completed successfully two nights ago, and the latest log backup timestamp is much older than expected.

The operations lead wants recovery completed quickly, but the team must avoid losing committed transactions that were already acknowledged before the outage. The environment allows both cockpit-based checks and command-level administration.

What is the best next action to restore service while addressing the upstream cause of the recovery gap?

- A.** restore the latest full backup immediately and reopen the database for users because the database is already running normally.
- B.** verify why log backups stopped, re-establish log backup continuity if possible, and then recover using the latest valid full backup plus available log backups.
- C.** restart the SAP HANA services again to force log processing and then repeat the recovery with the same backup set.
- D.** create a new full backup now and use that backup as the baseline for transactional recovery of the missing changes.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The visible symptom is missing recent transactions, but the upstream issue is not database startup. The artifact that matters is the unusually old latest log backup timestamp. That indicates a break in log backup continuity. The correct sequence is: identify the log backup interruption, confirm what valid log backups are available, and then recover with the most recent usable full

backup plus subsequent log backups. This addresses both the immediate restoration need and the root cause of the recovery gap.

NEW QUESTION: 20

A regional medical device distributor uses SAP HANA for warranty analytics. After a backup policy adjustment, SAP HANA cockpit shows the latest backup completed successfully. During a recovery readiness review, the administrator finds that the recovery workbook still identifies the previous recovery target point and does not include the latest completed backup in the selection sequence. A reviewer suggests approving the workbook because the backup catalog shows the new backup entry. The constraint is that the administrator must prove the documented recovery sequence can reach the intended recovery point. Catalog visibility alone is not enough if the workbook still selects an older recovery path.

Which action best supports recovery readiness approval?

- A. pprove the workbook because the backup catalog shows the latest backup completed successfully.
- B. reate a new backup catalog export and attach it to the workbook without changing the selected recovery sequence.
- C. estart SAP HANA so the recovery workbook can recognize the latest backup entry during the next review.
- D. pdate the recovery workbook to include the latest valid backup sequence, validate the intended recovery point, and record the evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the recovery-sequence dependency at the procedure layer. Updating the workbook, validating the intended recovery point, and recording evidence aligns backup availability, artifact selection, recovery execution, and resilience approval.

NEW QUESTION: 21

A regional packaging logistics company uses SAP HANA for shipment planning analytics. The administrator reviews recovery readiness after a backup retention adjustment. SAP HANA cockpit shows recent successful backups, but the recovery workbook still references the previous retention window for selecting recovery artifacts. A validation attempt can see current backup entries, yet the documented recovery sequence would exclude one required log backup under the new retention setting.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove the documented recovery process reflects the active retention configuration. The team cannot approve readiness if the workbook selects artifacts using an outdated retention assumption.

What should the administrator do next?

- A. reate another data backup so the recovery sequence can use only the newest backup entry.
- B. gnore the missing log backup because the validation attempt can still see current backup entries.

C. approve recovery readiness because SAP HANA cockpit shows recent successful backup entries.

D. update the recovery workbook to the active retention configuration, select the required backup sequence, and rerun validation.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

NEW QUESTION: 22

A regional food distribution company performs a recovery readiness exercise for its SAP HANA system before a seasonal ordering peak. The backup catalog shows the latest backup as complete, but the recovery checklist references an older backup point from the previous exercise. The operations team asks whether the exercise can be signed off because the latest backup itself completed successfully.

The constraint is that the recovery readiness record must demonstrate alignment between the selected recovery point, available backup evidence, and the intended exercise objective. The sign-off cannot rely on unrelated historical checklist entries.

Which action should the administrator take?

A. sign off the exercise because the latest backup completed successfully.

B. update the checklist to reference the current validated recovery point and confirm that it aligns with the available backup evidence before sign-off.

C. use the older backup point because it was already referenced in the previous recovery exercise.

D. create a new checklist entry after the seasonal peak to avoid delaying current operations.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the recovery readiness evidence at the selection and validation layer. Updating the checklist to the current validated recovery point aligns catalog evidence, exercise scope, and sign-off criteria.

NEW QUESTION: 23

A regional public-sector records office performs a SAP HANA recovery test after updating its backup schedule. The backup monitor shows recent backups completed, but the recovery test log indicates that the selected recovery point does not match the retention window defined for the updated schedule. The administrator can still access the backup catalog, and no production outage has occurred.

The constraint is that the recovery test must prove resilience under the current backup schedule, not under a previous retention assumption. The administrator must determine whether the recovery evidence is usable for sign-off or whether the selected recovery point invalidates the test result.

What is the best next action?

A. sign off the recovery test because recent backups completed and the catalog remains accessible.

B. change the retention window to match the recovery point used in the test log.

- C. ignore the mismatch because no production outage occurred during the exercise.
- D. repeat the recovery test using a recovery point aligned with the current backup schedule and update the resilience evidence after validation.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the validation layer of the resilience exercise. Repeating the test with a recovery point aligned to the current schedule connects backup completion, retention policy, recovery execution, and sign-off evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 24

A financial operations team uses SAP HANA for internal reconciliation reporting. The administrator reviews backup monitoring after a scheduled maintenance cycle. SAP HANA cockpit shows the latest data backup as completed, but the backup validation report flags that the configured retention cleanup removed older log backup files earlier than expected. The system is available, and the reporting team has not reported any issue.

The constraint is that the administrator must protect the required recovery window before accepting the backup state as production-ready. The operations policy allows cleanup automation, but the backup and log retention behavior must still support the agreed recovery coverage.

What should the administrator do next?

- A. accept the backup state because the most recent data backup completed successfully.
- B. disable all backup cleanup automation so no backup files are removed in future cycles.
- C. restart backup monitoring so the validation report can be generated from a refreshed runtime state.
- D. correct the retention setting to preserve the required log backup range, then validate recoverability for the agreed window.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the upstream backup lifecycle setting that caused the recovery window gap.

Validating recoverability after the retention adjustment confirms that backup configuration, log availability, and recovery execution support the required coverage.

NEW QUESTION: 25

A packaged foods company is preparing a controlled maintenance cycle for its on-premises SAP HANA environment while keeping a separate SAP HANA Cloud database available for downstream reporting. The initial upgrade preparation completed, but the final readiness review shows that one administration path still reflects pre-maintenance behavior while the main lifecycle checks show the target state for most components. No broad outage is visible, yet the validation team cannot sign off because the operational view is inconsistent.

The maintenance coordinator wants to avoid unnecessary rollback and complete only the correction needed to restore lifecycle consistency before the business window opens.

Which action is the best choice?

- A.** confirm which lifecycle element remains out of sync and complete the pending maintenance finalization before releasing the system.
- B.** roll back the entire maintenance cycle because any inconsistent administrative view proves the upgrade cannot be trusted.
- C.** accept the current state because most components already show the target level and no outage is visible.
- D.** redirect all validation to the cloud database and postpone the remaining on-premises lifecycle correction to a later window.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The operational issue is lifecycle inconsistency, not total service failure. Most checks show the target state, but one administrative path still reflects pre-maintenance behavior. That means the best action is to isolate the specific lifecycle element that did not finalize correctly and complete that finalization before release. This supports controlled maintenance completion without excessive disruption.

NEW QUESTION: 26

CHALLENGE 4; Regional Release Recovery Assurance Backups completed for the upgraded central SAP HANA system and the newly installed remediation database before testing began. Recovery validation for the remediation database is scheduled but not complete, and the upgraded system has only backup completion evidence. What should happen before regional release approval?

- A.** approve the release because completed backups are enough for retail reporting continuity.
- B.** complete recovery validation and document startup behavior for the remediation systems.
- C.** release the template and perform recovery validation only if reporting becomes unavailable.
- D.** replace recovery validation with dashboard availability because this is not a production outage.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior should be completed before regional release approval. Backup completion supports continuity planning, but it does not prove recoverability for the remediation systems.

NEW QUESTION: 27

CHALLENGE 3; Quota and Source Coordination for Multi-Vendor Materials The sourcing lead wants to preserve vendor-sharing for priority materials so the first live week has more resilient coverage. A plant buyer wants to simplify those same materials to a single vendor during cutover because the order path looks more stable. Which option is most appropriate?

- A.** reserve the intended multi-vendor pattern and verify whether source coordination can remain stable under representative cross-plant demand

- B.** switch all priority materials to a single vendor because cutover stability always outweighs sourcing resilience
- C.** let each plant decide which materials will use multi-vendor behavior during the first live week
- D.** postpone supplier-sharing validation until invoice continuity testing is complete

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The scenario requires a performance-versus-governance and resilience-versus-simplicity decision. The planned multi-vendor pattern should be preserved and validated under realistic demand unless the coordination model itself cannot sustain operational execution.

NEW QUESTION: 28

A regional public transit operator runs SAP HANA on-premises for ridership analytics and has a separate SAP HANA Cloud evaluation instance for future reporting modernization. During an operations handover, the administrator finds that the support matrix lists both environments under one administrator contact and one validation checklist. SAP HANA cockpit evidence exists for the on-premises workload, while SAP HANA Cloud Central evidence exists for the evaluation instance.

The constraint is that first-level support must route operational incidents correctly without confusing active production administration with cloud evaluation readiness. The support matrix must remain useful for both environments.

Which action best corrects the support matrix?

- A.** separate the support matrix entries by active on-premises workload and SAP HANA Cloud evaluation instance, with each mapped to its own validation evidence.
- B.** keep one support matrix entry because both systems are administered within the SAP HANA database administration scope.
- C.** remove the SAP HANA Cloud evaluation instance until it becomes the active reporting environment.
- D.** assign all incidents to the cloud evaluation contact because modernization planning is already in progress.

Answer: **A** ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the environment-role mapping at the support and validation layer. Separating active workload administration from cloud evaluation readiness aligns incident routing, evidence source, operational responsibility, and later modernization tracking.

NEW QUESTION: 29

CHALLENGE 4; Release Recoverability Evidence Gate The release lead can approve UAT sign-off on schedule using backup completion evidence, or delay briefly to include recovery validation for the UAT database and recoverability evidence for the upgraded system. Which path is most defensible?

- A.** approve on schedule because meeting the release decision date is the strongest governance outcome.
- B.** delay briefly to complete recoverability evidence for systems supporting the release package.
- C.** approve on schedule and attach recovery validation to the future SAP HANA Cloud migration plan.
- D.** cancel the release decision because recovery validation was not completed before UAT began.

Answer: B ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

A brief delay is most defensible because it completes recoverability evidence for systems supporting the release package. This balances schedule governance with operational resilience evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 30

A medical device supplier is moving a reporting database from on-premises SAP HANA to SAP HANA Cloud in phases. The first migration rehearsal finishes technically, but the operations team finds that existing administration routines no longer map cleanly to the target environment. Backup scheduling assumptions, daily monitoring habits, and some lifecycle procedures were copied from the on-premises runbook without adjustment.

The migration manager wants the next rehearsal to stay on schedule and avoid rework after go-live. The constraint is that the team must reduce operational risk during transition without expanding the scope into a full process redesign.

What is the best next step for the next rehearsal cycle?

- A.** freeze the migrated target configuration and postpone all administration process updates until after productive cutover.
- B.** rebuild the entire administration model from scratch so the target landscape is treated as a completely independent platform.
- C.** add focused validation of backup, monitoring, and operational procedures in the cloud target and adjust only the runbook elements that no longer fit the new environment.
- D.** keep the existing on-premises administration routines unchanged because the successful technical migration proves operational readiness.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This is the required modernization-style drill. The migration rehearsal succeeded technically, but the artifact is operational misfit between inherited on-premises routines and the cloud target. The best answer is incremental adaptation: validate the cloud-target administration model for backup, monitoring, and lifecycle operations, then adjust only the procedures that no longer align. That directly addresses transition risk while respecting the constraint not to expand into full redesign.

NEW QUESTION: 31

CHALLENGE 2; Restoration Simulation Monitoring Baseline A performance specialist suggests applying tuning before preserving baseline evidence because

the second UAT run needs better response time. The database owner is concerned about later release comparison. Which decision is most appropriate?

- A. apply tuning first and document the original baseline as unavailable.
- B. reserve the current baseline, then document any tuning as a controlled follow-on change.
- C. cancel the second UAT run until all performance variability is eliminated.
- D. use SAP HANA Cloud test results to replace on-premises monitoring evidence.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

The current baseline should be preserved before tuning is documented as a controlled follow-on change. This keeps release comparison evidence understandable while still allowing performance improvement when justified.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: freecram)

NEW QUESTION: 32

A regional marine equipment distributor operates SAP HANA on-premises for service-parts analytics and has provisioned an SAP HANA Cloud environment for a future migration rehearsal. During an administration handover, the landscape note states that "database administration monitoring is active," but the evidence table mixes on-premises cockpit status with SAP HANA Cloud readiness notes. The administrator cannot determine which monitoring evidence supports the current workload and which evidence supports the planned cloud transition.

The constraint is that the handover must support environment-specific administration decisions. The team must keep both environments in scope while avoiding a combined status that hides operational responsibility.

Which action best improves the handover evidence?

- A. keep the combined monitoring statement because both environments belong to the SAP HANA administration scope.
- B. separate the handover evidence by environment, current workload role, and administration evidence source.
- C. remove the SAP HANA Cloud readiness notes until the migration rehearsal becomes production-bound.
- D. use only the on-premises cockpit status because it supports the current service-parts analytics workload.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the environment-mapping gap at the landscape evidence layer. Separating environment, workload role, and evidence source confirms that each SAP HANA target has administration evidence tied to the correct operational purpose.

NEW QUESTION: 33

A logistics provider runs both SAP HANA Cloud and an on-premises SAP HANA system. During a morning maintenance task, an administrator confirms that core services are up, but application teams still cannot complete their validation checks against one database. Monitoring shows the overall instance is reachable, yet the affected database remains unavailable for the expected operational task.

A second administrator suggests restarting the full instance to clear the condition before the business window opens. The operations manager wants the smallest valid action that restores the database and avoids unnecessary impact on healthy components in the same landscape.

Which action is the best choice?

- A.** restart the full SAP HANA instance because a service-level reset is the fastest universal way to restore database access.
- B.** validate the state of the specific database and start or reactivate only that database component instead of restarting the whole instance.
- C.** trigger an immediate backup so the database state is protected before any administration action is taken.
- D.** shift all validation activity to the cloud landscape and delay action on the unavailable database until the next maintenance cycle.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The observable artifact is a state mismatch: the overall instance is reachable, but the required database is not available for use. That means the issue is at the database operational state layer, not necessarily the entire instance layer. The best action is to validate and correct the state of the specific database only. This satisfies the constraint of minimal impact and aligns with operational administration discipline.

NEW QUESTION: 34

A transportation enterprise is preparing an administration handover for SAP HANA while maintaining both an on-premises database and an SAP HANA Cloud target used for modernization planning. SAP HANA Cloud Central shows the cloud database as available, but database explorer checks submitted by the operations team still return results from the on-premises system. The handover document lists both environments, but the connection entry used for validation points to the older endpoint.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove the intended environment is being validated before accepting the handover. The team cannot rely on a successful query alone because both systems currently contain similar test data.

What should the administrator do first?

- A.** ccept the handover because successful database explorer results prove that the SAP HANA database is available.
- B.** efresh the copied test data so result differences can identify which database responded to each query.
- C.** estart the SAP HANA Cloud database so the validation query can be repeated against a newly started target.
- D.** orrect and verify the connection binding to the intended SAP HANA environment before using query results as validation evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the upstream validation problem by aligning the connection definition with the intended SAP HANA environment. Once the binding is verified, database explorer results can be used as evidence for the correct landscape target.

NEW QUESTION: 35

CHALLENGE 2; Outage Analytics Monitoring Baseline Early-morning incident simulation reports showed variable response time, and the operations lead requests tuning before the next service review. The monitoring baseline has not been fully captured, and some thresholds still reflect the pre-hypercare reporting profile. What should the database owner do first?

- A.** pply tuning immediately because outage analytics affects service operations readiness.
- B.** apture the current monitoring baseline and validate threshold relevance before tuning.
- C.** isable incident simulation alerts so the next review focuses only on report completion.
- D.** ove the tuning decision to SAP HANA Cloud because future analytics may migrate there.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The database owner should capture the baseline and validate threshold relevance before tuning. This prevents performance changes from obscuring the current hypercare evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 36

A regional furniture manufacturer uses SAP HANA for production-demand analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but the operations dashboard displays a short CPU spike during the planning run while the workload log shows a longer query wait interval. Users reported slow report refreshes at the same time. A coordinator suggests raising the CPU alert threshold because the spike was brief.

The constraint is that the administrator must determine whether the user delay is related to workload wait behavior before changing monitoring thresholds. The next planning run must remain observable with evidence that can support troubleshooting.

Which action best supports the troubleshooting decision?

- A.** raise the CPU alert threshold because the observed spike was brief and the database remained available.
- B.** ignore the workload wait interval because SAP HANA cockpit did not show a database outage.
- C.** restart the database before the next planning run so the workload log starts from a clean state.
- D.** correlate the CPU spike, query wait interval, and user delay timing before deciding whether threshold changes are appropriate.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the evidence-correlation layer. Aligning CPU behavior, query wait timing, and user impact supports a valid troubleshooting conclusion before any monitoring threshold or configuration decision is made.

NEW QUESTION: 37

A regional subscription meal company runs SAP HANA for delivery forecast analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows normal database availability, but the operations team sees that a memory consumption graph has a gap during the nightly planning run. The alert log has no critical entry, yet planners reported slower dashboard response during that same interval. A support coordinator suggests lowering the memory alert threshold to catch the next occurrence. The constraint is that the administrator must restore trustworthy monitoring evidence before changing alert sensitivity. The next planning run must allow the team to correlate workload behavior with memory evidence.

Which action best addresses the monitoring gap?

- A.** lower the memory alert threshold so the next planning run produces a clearer alert.
- B.** verify and correct the memory metric collection path, monitor the next planning run, and validate that the graph captures the interval.
- C.** ignore the graph gap because no critical alert was created and the database remained available.
- D.** restart the database before the next planning run so the memory graph begins with a clean measurement baseline.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This acts at the monitoring evidence layer where the artifact is incomplete. Correcting metric collection and validating the next run aligns workload timing, memory evidence, troubleshooting interpretation, and later configuration decisions.

NEW QUESTION: 38

A regional event management company runs SAP HANA for ticket sales analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but the operations team observes that statement-level troubleshooting evidence is missing for one reporting window. The general performance dashboard shows a brief response-time increase, while the detailed statement history has no entries for the affected period. A support coordinator proposes adjusting report schedules because the dashboard indicates a slowdown.

The constraint is that the administrator must validate the diagnostic evidence path before changing workload timing. The next reporting window must remain available while the team confirms why detailed statement evidence was not captured.

Which action best addresses the troubleshooting gap?

- A.** Change the report schedule immediately because the response-time increase proves the workload timing is wrong.
- B.** Ignore the issue because the database remained available and only detailed troubleshooting evidence was missing.
- C.** Verify the statement-history collection path for the affected period, correct the collection issue, and validate captured evidence in the next window.
- D.** Restart SAP HANA before the next window so the detailed statement history starts with a clean monitoring state.

Answer: C ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

This targets the diagnostic collection layer where the artifact is incomplete. Correcting and validating statement-history capture links the performance symptom, evidence collection, troubleshooting analysis, and subsequent decision path.

NEW QUESTION: 39

CHALLENGE 4; SIT Recovery Evidence Sequencing

The SIT lead can release the next cycle on schedule using backup completion evidence, or delay the release briefly to include recovery validation for the installed test database and recoverability evidence for the upgraded system. Which path is most defensible?

- A.** Release on schedule because schedule discipline is the highest priority during SIT.
- B.** Delay briefly to complete recovery evidence for the systems included in the next SIT cycle.
- C.** Release on schedule and attach recovery evidence to the later SAP HANA Cloud migration plan.
- D.** Cancel the second SIT cycle because recovery validation was not completed before the first run.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#)**)**

Feedback:

A brief delay is most defensible because it completes recoverability evidence for systems used in the next SIT cycle. This reflects governance-vs-governance prioritization between schedule control and continuity evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 40

CHALLENGE 3; Freight Cloud Readiness Boundary
The executive update needs to cover current cutover readiness and future SAP HANA Cloud reporting migration. The on-premises cutover systems have backup and monitoring evidence, while the cloud database has provisioning and sample data only. Which action best supports accurate decision-making?

- A. Combine all evidence into one readiness status so the executive update is easier to read.
- B. Separate current cutover readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness in the update.
- C. Treat cloud provisioning as proof that the migration stream is ready for reporting transition.
- D. Delay cutover approval until SAP HANA Cloud carries the same freight reporting workload.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The update should separate current cutover readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness. The evidence supports different lifecycle decisions and should not be merged into one conclusion.

NEW QUESTION: 41

CHALLENGE 4; Receipt-to-Invoice Continuity During Transition Weekend
The finance team proposes a quicker overlap approach: allow local exception handling during the transition weekend so invoices can be settled faster, even if the route differs slightly by plant. The transition office wants a result that remains supportable after go-live. Which action is best aligned with the scenario?

- A. Accept local exception handling because transition weekends should prioritize speed over continuity
- B. Retain the stricter receipt-to-invoice sequence and validate whether finance traceability remains intact under overlap-period conditions
- C. Suspend invoice validation during the weekend and rely on post-go-live cleanup for any unsettled cases
- D. Validate only goods receipt

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The scenario places finance traceability and overlap-period stability at the center of go-live readiness. Retaining the stricter end-to-end sequence preserves the evidence needed to confirm that invoice handling remains supportable during transition.

NEW QUESTION: 42

A regional specialty foods company uses SAP HANA for demand forecasting. After a backup schedule adjustment, SAP HANA cockpit shows the newest backup as successful. During resilience review, the administrator finds that the recovery checklist still selects the previous weekly backup as the starting point, while the intended recovery objective requires the most recent successful backup and its dependent log entries. A reviewer proposes approving the checklist because backup execution itself succeeded.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove recovery readiness against the intended recovery objective. The approval cannot depend only on backup success if the documented sequence starts from the wrong artifact.

- A.** prove readiness because SAP HANA cockpit confirms that the newest backup completed successfully.
- B.** update the checklist to select the correct backup and dependent log sequence, then validate the recovery objective evidence.
- C.** create one additional backup so the checklist can avoid using dependent log entries.
- D.** keep the previous weekly backup as the starting point because it is already documented in the recovery checklist.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the recovery sequence at the procedure and artifact-selection layer. Selecting the correct backup and dependent logs aligns backup execution, recovery target, validation evidence, and resilience approval.

NEW QUESTION: 43

A regional cold-chain logistics company uses SAP HANA for delivery temperature analytics in an on-premises environment. After a planned database start, SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available. During validation, the administrator notices that an administration setting was updated in the documented configuration sheet, but the active runtime check still shows the previous value. Scheduled monitoring continues to run, so the operations coordinator wants to close the task.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove the configured value is active in runtime before closure. The team must not rely on documentation alone when the observable runtime state still shows a mismatch.

What should the administrator do to resolve the configuration state mismatch?

- A.** close the task because scheduled monitoring continues and SAP HANA cockpit shows database availability.
- B.** update the documentation again so the configuration sheet clearly shows the intended setting.
- C.** restart scheduled monitoring so it can use the documented configuration value during the next cycle.
- D.** apply or activate the setting in the correct runtime context, then validate that the active value matches the approved configuration.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the configuration activation layer directly. Applying or activating the setting in the correct runtime context and validating the active value aligns documented intent, runtime configuration, execution behavior, and closure evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 44

CHALLENGE 4; SIT Recovery Evidence Sequencing

Backups completed before SIT began for the upgraded and newly installed SAP HANA databases. The installed test database has recovery validation scheduled before the second SIT cycle, but it is not yet complete. What should happen before releasing the next SIT cycle?

- A. release the cycle because completed backups are enough for integration testing continuity.
- B. complete recovery validation and document startup behavior before release approval.
- C. release the cycle and perform recovery validation only if the database becomes unavailable.
- D. replace recovery validation with dashboard availability because SIT is not production.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior should be documented before release approval. Backup completion supports continuity planning, but it does not prove that recovery can be executed.

NEW QUESTION: 45

A digital publishing company operates SAP HANA for subscriber activity reporting. Users report that dashboards are available, but refresh times vary sharply during the morning reporting window. SAP HANA cockpit shows no service outage, while monitoring history shows a recurring peak in expensive statement activity from a reporting workload. The database team is asked to increase system capacity immediately because the reports are business-critical.

The constraint is that the administrator must identify the execution pattern before recommending a capacity or scheduling change. The business requires stable refresh times, but the system must remain available during investigation.

Which action best fits the observed performance evidence?

- A. increase capacity immediately because dashboard variability always indicates insufficient database resources.
- B. disable the reporting workload until the next maintenance window so all expensive statements are removed from the system.
- C. review the expensive statement pattern and workload timing, then apply a targeted scheduling or workload adjustment based on validated evidence.
- D. restart SAP HANA during the reporting window so existing expensive statements are cleared before users refresh dashboards.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This acts on the monitored execution evidence before changing the operating model. Reviewing expensive statement behavior and timing supports a targeted correction that links workload activity, resource use, and dashboard refresh validation.

NEW QUESTION: 46

A regional industrial packaging company is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The transfer finishes, and the target database can be queried. During validation, the administrator finds that the migration report confirms object counts but does not

include evidence that the required post-migration administration checks were executed from SAP HANA Cloud tooling. The project manager wants to approve the rehearsal because the transfer count matches the source count.

The constraint is that the migration wave must prove both transferred content and target administration readiness. The organization is using phased modernization, so the validation pattern must be repeatable for later waves.

Which recommendation is most appropriate?

- A.** approve the rehearsal because matching object counts prove that the migrated SAP HANA Cloud target is ready.
- B.** repeat the transfer with the same source scope so the object-count match can be confirmed a second time.
- C.** defer target administration checks until after production cutover to keep the phased migration schedule intact.
- D.** execute and record post-migration administration checks from the SAP HANA Cloud tooling, then approve only if content and administration evidence both pass.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This completes the target validation layer required for migration readiness. Recording SAP HANA Cloud administration checks together with transfer evidence confirms that content movement, target tooling, operational readiness, and repeatable migration evidence are aligned.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: **freecram**)

NEW QUESTION: 47

CHALLENGE 4 2014; Rollout Recovery Validation Gate

The rollout lead can release the plant wave on schedule using backup completion evidence, or delay briefly to include recovery validation for the wave database and recoverability evidence for the pilot system. Which path is most defensible?

- A.** release on schedule because schedule discipline is the strongest rollout governance outcome.
- B.** delay briefly to complete recoverability evidence for systems supporting the plant wave.
- C.** release on schedule and attach recovery validation to the future SAP HANA Cloud migration plan.
- D.** cancel the plant wave because recovery validation was not completed before checkpoint review.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

A brief delay is most defensible because it completes recoverability evidence for systems supporting the plant wave. This balances schedule governance with operational resilience evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 48

CHALLENGE 3 The modernization lead wants to include the SAP HANA Cloud environment in the same readiness status as the first close systems because the cloud database is provisioned and has limited reporting data. The cloud evidence package does not include matching monitoring or recovery validation. What is the best response?

- A.** Include the cloud database in the same status because provisioning and limited data prove readiness.
- B.** Keep cloud planning evidence separate until cloud-specific monitoring and recovery evidence are available.
- C.** Use the upgraded on-premises system's close evidence as the SAP HANA Cloud readiness record.
- D.** Stop cloud transition planning until the current close system is fully retired.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Cloud planning evidence should remain separate until cloud-specific monitoring and recovery evidence are available. Provisioning and limited data support planning, but they do not equal close-system readiness.

NEW QUESTION: 49

CHALLENGE 4

Backups completed for the upgraded claims reporting system and the newly installed validation database before close rehearsal. Recovery validation for the validation database is scheduled but not complete, and the upgraded system has only backup completion evidence. What should happen before final close sign-off?

- A.** Approve close sign-off because backup completion is enough for first close continuity.
- B.** Complete recovery validation and document startup behavior for the close-cycle systems.
- C.** Perform recovery validation only if close reporting becomes unavailable.
- D.** Replace recovery validation with dashboard availability because this is a rehearsal cycle.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior should be completed before final close sign-off. Backup completion supports continuity planning, but it does not prove recoverability.

NEW QUESTION: 50

A regional electronics distributor is validating an SAP HANA upgrade rehearsal in an on-premises environment. The database starts successfully after the upgrade, and SAP HANA cockpit shows normal availability. During administrative validation, hdbsql checks from the operations workstation fail with a client compatibility message, while cockpit-based checks continue to work. The upgrade record confirms the database revision was updated, but the workstation tooling was not reviewed after the rehearsal.

The constraint is that the administrator must confirm post-upgrade administration through the required mixed tooling before approving the production window. The team must not treat cockpit-only validation as sufficient.

Which action best completes the upgrade validation?

- A.** approve the rehearsal because the upgraded database starts and SAP HANA cockpit checks succeed.
- B.** downgrade the database revision because hdbsql cannot connect from the operations workstation.
- C.** replace the command-line validation with cockpit-only checks for the production upgrade window.
- D.** align the operations workstation tooling with the upgraded database requirements, rerun hdbsql validation, and record the result.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the post-upgrade tooling compatibility gap. Aligning the workstation tooling and rerunning hdbsql validation confirms that upgrade execution, database availability, administration tool compatibility, and operational evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 51

An engineering services company completes a controlled configuration change for SAP HANA administration parameters in an on-premises database. After the change, the database is running, but the administrator notices that the expected configuration value is visible in the planned change record while the active runtime view still shows the previous value. Routine checks continue to succeed, so the application coordinator asks to close the change.

The constraint is that the administrator must confirm that the intended configuration is actually active before completing the operational handover. The environment uses mixed administrative tooling, and the change record alone cannot be treated as execution evidence.

Which action should the administrator take?

- A.** close the change because routine checks are succeeding and the planned change record shows the intended value.
- B.** update the change documentation to match the active runtime value and avoid further administrative action.
- C.** determine why the configured value is not active, apply the required activation step, and validate the runtime value again.
- D.** restart monitoring so the active runtime view can refresh without changing the database configuration state.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the configuration activation mismatch at the correct layer. Confirming why the intended value is not active, completing the activation requirement, and validating runtime state ensures the change record and database behavior are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 52

A regional beverage distributor is preparing an SAP HANA installation acceptance activity for a new on-premises reporting database. The database installation finishes without error, and SAP HANA cockpit shows the system as available. During acceptance review, the administrator notices that the installed database was recorded against the correct host group, but the lifecycle worksheet still lists the previous software package reference from an earlier rehearsal. The deployment lead wants to approve acceptance because the running database is accessible. The constraint is that the administrator must prove the accepted installation record matches the actual installed lifecycle state. Approval cannot rely only on runtime availability when the lifecycle reference in the worksheet is inconsistent.

Which action best resolves the installation acceptance gap?

- A.** approve the installation because SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available and the installation completed without error.
- B.** replace the lifecycle worksheet reference with the actual installed package evidence, validate the acceptance record, and approve only after the worksheet matches the system state.
- C.** restart the database so SAP HANA cockpit can refresh the package information shown in the acceptance worksheet.
- D.** keep the earlier package reference because it belongs to the same installation rehearsal sequence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the lifecycle evidence layer before acceptance. Updating the worksheet with the actual installed package evidence and validating the record aligns installation completion, lifecycle reference, system state, and approval evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 53

CHALLENGE 2; Simulation Monitoring Baseline Control The reporting team asks to apply memory-related tuning before the next baggage-volume simulation because response times varied during the first SIT cycle. The current monitoring baseline has not been fully captured. What should the database owner do first?

- A.** apply tuning immediately because faster simulation response time supports rollout confidence.
- B.** capture current monitoring behavior and validate threshold relevance before approving tuning.
- C.** disable simulation monitoring so the next run focuses only on report completion.
- D.** move tuning decisions to the SAP HANA Cloud system because future analytics will run there.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The database owner should capture monitoring behavior and validate thresholds before tuning. This keeps the SIT baseline clear before any performance change alters the evidence used for rollout decisions.

NEW QUESTION: 54

A regional medical devices distributor is preparing an SAP HANA upgrade rehearsal for an on-premises database. The upgrade package is available, and the database is currently running. Before the rehearsal begins, the administrator compares the maintenance checklist with the validation output and finds that the prerequisite check was completed before the latest package was staged. SAP HANA cockpit still shows normal availability, and the technical lead wants to proceed because the system has not reported an error.

The constraint is that the administrator must base the upgrade decision on preparation evidence that matches the current package state. The rehearsal should not begin from validation output created before the final staged artifact was present.

What should the administrator do before starting the rehearsal?

- A.** proceed with the rehearsal because the database is available and no current SAP HANA cockpit error is visible.
- B.** rerun the prerequisite validation after confirming the final staged package, then start only if the current checks pass.
- C.** restart the database so the earlier prerequisite output can be refreshed during the upgrade startup sequence.
- D.** add a note to the checklist explaining that the prerequisite check was completed before package staging.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the lifecycle validation timing gap. Confirming the staged package and rerunning prerequisites ensures that package state, preparation checks, execution readiness, and rehearsal evidence are aligned before the upgrade begins.

NEW QUESTION: 55

A regional textile exporter is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The migration preparation checklist confirms that the source database backup was completed, and the cloud target is reachable through database explorer. Before the transfer window, the administrator notices that the checklist does not record whether the source backup was selected as the migration baseline for this rehearsal. The project lead wants to continue because both source backup and target access are confirmed.

The constraint is that the rehearsal must use a clearly identified source baseline so results can be traced after import. The team must avoid a transfer that cannot be tied back to the exact prepared source state.

Which recommendation best addresses the migration preparation risk?

- A.** continue the transfer because a source backup exists and the SAP HANA Cloud target is reachable.
- B.** record the selected source backup as the migration baseline, validate that it matches the rehearsal scope, and proceed only after baseline evidence is complete.
- C.** repeat target connection testing because the missing source baseline means the cloud target readiness is uncertain.
- D.** defer baseline recording until after import because migrated query results will show whether the correct source state was used.

Answer: B ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the migration baseline dependency before execution. Recording and validating the selected backup aligns source preparation, transfer scope, target import, and post-import traceability.

NEW QUESTION: 56

A subscription billing company uses SAP HANA for scheduled revenue analysis. Users report that one recurring analysis job finishes later than expected, but interactive checks remain responsive. SAP HANA cockpit shows no database outage, while monitoring history shows that the late job overlaps with a planned administrative task and a short period of increased CPU utilization. The support team suggests changing the job owner because the job still completes successfully. The constraint is that the administrator must identify whether the delay is caused by workload timing or an execution access problem before making a change. The next scheduled run must remain within the reporting window.

Which response best addresses the delayed execution?

- A.** change the job owner immediately because delayed completion indicates an authorization problem.
- B.** restart the database before the next run so background execution begins from a clean runtime state.
- C.** accept the current schedule because successful completion proves that the reporting window is protected.
- D.** review the job timing against the administrative task and CPU history, then adjust scheduling or workload placement if the overlap is confirmed.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#)**)**

Feedback:

This acts at the scheduling and resource diagnosis layer indicated by the monitoring history. Confirming the overlap and adjusting timing or placement addresses the cause of delayed execution while preserving the reporting window requirement.

NEW QUESTION: 57

A media distribution company recently adjusted a database configuration setting on its on-premises SAP HANA environment to improve background data preparation before replication to

SAP HANA Cloud. The next day, overnight processing improved, but administrators notice that morning analyst sessions now experience short response spikes during a narrow usage window. System availability is normal, and memory indicators remain within target range.

A platform engineer recommends increasing CPU allocation because the analyst complaints appear during peak usage. The service owner wants the least disruptive correction that preserves the overnight gain while resolving the new daytime regression.

What is the best first step?

- A.** ompare the recent configuration change against the affected workload pattern and adjust only the setting that is shifting performance away from interactive use.
- B.** everse the full tuning change immediately because any daytime slowdown proves the optimization attempt failed overall.
- C.** ncrease CPU resources first because a short response spike always indicates the current configuration is already correct but undersized.
- D.** ove the analyst workload completely to SAP HANA Cloud because the mixed landscape already supports that target environment.

Answer: A ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

The evidence shows a selective trade-off, not total system degradation: overnight processing improved, but a narrow interactive workload regressed. That points to a configuration-to-workload effect. The best first step is to correlate the recent setting change with the affected workload pattern and make a scoped correction. This preserves the intended gain while fixing the specific daytime impact.

NEW QUESTION: 58

CHALLENGE 3; Cloud Readiness Evidence Separation The modernization lead wants the SAP HANA Cloud database included in the same readiness status as the on-premises SIT systems because the cloud database is provisioned. The cloud environment has only limited test data and no complete monitoring or recovery evidence package. What is the best response?

- A.** nclude the cloud database in the same readiness status because provisioning confirms the environment exists.
- B.** eep cloud readiness separate until cloud-specific administration evidence is completed.
- C.** se the upgraded on-premises system's monitoring evidence as the cloud readiness record.
- D.** xclude SAP HANA Cloud from all planning until every on-premises SIT cycle is finished.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#)**)**

Feedback:

Cloud readiness should remain separate until environment-specific administration evidence is completed. Provisioning confirms availability of the environment, not readiness for the same status as on-premises SIT systems.

NEW QUESTION: 59

CHALLENGE 2; Release Control Timing for Urgent Replenishment

A project reviewer notes that urgent replenishment orders can still be created and progressed under both of the following conditions: one path uses the common approval structure, and another path uses locally reduced approval handling. The business asks which path should guide go-live readiness. Which answer is best?

- A. choose the faster path because any functioning route is acceptable during the cutover weekend
- B. choose the common approval structure unless it prevents urgent orders from meeting operational timing expectations
- C. use the reduced approval route for direct materials and the common route for indirect materials only
- D. keep both routes active so plant buyers can decide based on workload at the time of order creation

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This is a SyBA-style choice between two viable configuration outcomes. The common approval structure should remain the preferred path because it supports consistent governance, unless it clearly fails to support the urgent replenishment timing required by the business.

NEW QUESTION: 60

CHALLENGE 1; UAT Configuration Evidence Reconciliation
During UAT preparation, test users can open activation backlog reports from both SAP HANA systems. The newly installed UAT database has its active configuration documented, but the upgraded reporting system still has one post-upgrade value recorded only in a release note. What should the administrator do before accepting the UAT comparison?

- A. accept the comparison because both systems return the required reports during UAT.
- B. reconcile the release-note value into the upgraded system's current administration record.
- C. remove the documented value from the UAT database record so both systems appear aligned.
- D. continue UAT and review the release note only if report users identify inconsistent data.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The administrator should reconcile the release-note value into the current administration record before accepting the comparison. Report access does not prove that both SAP HANA systems are being evaluated against documented active states.

NEW QUESTION: 61

A publishing company runs transactional processing on an on-premises SAP HANA database and uses SAP HANA Cloud for newly introduced planning workloads. During a weekly administration review, the team notices that the same validation checklist is being applied to both environments even though operational responsibilities were split during the last transition phase.

As a result, one team is checking database state in the wrong environment while another team assumes the cloud-side validation is already covered. No outage has occurred, but the operations manager wants to remove the architectural ambiguity before the next handover cycle. The constraint is to improve control quickly without redesigning the whole mixed landscape.

What is the best next action?

- A.** Keep the shared checklist in place and add an extra review meeting so both teams can reconcile differences after each validation run.
- B.** Move the remaining on-premises validation activities to the cloud environment so one checklist can continue to be used everywhere.
- C.** Pause all mixed-environment administration until a full operating model redesign can be approved for both landscapes.
- D.** Separate the validation checklist by environment and assign explicit ownership for on-premises and cloud administration tasks before the next handover.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

The visible issue is not a technical outage but an architectural operating-model mismatch in a mixed deployment. The same checklist is being used across two different environments with different administrative responsibilities. Separating the checklist by environment and clarifying ownership addresses the actual dependency chain: landscape split → control split → validation accountability → reliable handover.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: freeexam)

NEW QUESTION: 62

A packaging manufacturer performs a controlled SAP HANA administration change before a weekend reporting cycle. After the activity, the database starts and SAP HANA cockpit shows normal availability. During validation, the administrator sees that a scheduled administrative check still uses the previous configuration value even though the new value appears in the saved configuration record. The operations supervisor wants to close the change because no current user sessions are failing.

The constraint is that the administrator must confirm that scheduled administration will execute with the approved configuration. The validation must focus on the configuration state used during execution, not only current database availability.

What should the administrator do before closing the change?

- A.** lose the change because active users are not reporting failures after the database restart.
- B.** annually update the change record to show that the previous configuration remains the operational baseline.
- C.** validate why the scheduled check still reads the old value, activate the approved configuration where required, and rerun the administrative check.
- D.** wait for the weekend reporting cycle to complete and review whether users report any effect from the configuration mismatch.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the configuration-to-execution gap at the correct layer. Activating the approved value where required and rerunning the administrative check confirms that saved configuration, runtime use, and scheduled validation are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 63

A regional environmental testing company operates SAP HANA on-premises for laboratory turnaround analytics and is preparing a separate SAP HANA Cloud environment for migration rehearsal. During an administration review, the landscape worksheet shows one shared "administration owner" for both environments, but the operational evidence comes from different tools. SAP HANA cockpit confirms the on-premises system status, while SAP HANA Cloud Central confirms the cloud database status. The worksheet does not show which owner must act on each environment-specific alert or readiness item.

The constraint is that the administrator must make the landscape worksheet actionable without changing the assigned team structure. The handover must distinguish current operations from cloud migration readiness.

Which action best resolves the landscape ownership issue?

- A.** keep the shared owner entry because both systems are SAP HANA databases and the same team supports them.
- B.** split the worksheet by environment, evidence source, and operational responsibility while retaining the same administration team.
- C.** remove the SAP HANA Cloud readiness items until the migration rehearsal becomes the active operational workload.
- D.** treat the on-premises SAP HANA cockpit status as the controlling readiness signal for both environments.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the landscape responsibility gap at the architecture evidence layer. Separating environment, evidence source, and operational responsibility confirms that each SAP HANA target has clear administration actionability while preserving the same support team.

NEW QUESTION: 64

A regional diagnostics provider uses SAP HANA for laboratory operations analytics. The administrator performs a recovery rehearsal in a validation environment. The restore completes, but the validation notes show that the recovery used a backup chain selected from a manually exported catalog snapshot. The live backup catalog contains a newer log entry that was not included in the exported snapshot. The operations manager wants to accept the rehearsal because the database opened successfully.

The constraint is that the administrator must validate recovery using evidence that reflects the current backup catalog. The rehearsal must prove the recoverable state without relying on stale catalog metadata.

What should the administrator do next?

- A.** ccept the rehearsal because the restored database opened successfully in the validation environment.
- B.** erun recovery selection using the current backup catalog, restore to the required point, and validate the recovered state.
- C.** reate a new manual catalog export and attach it to the completed rehearsal without repeating recovery.
- D.** gnore the newer log entry because the exported catalog snapshot was available when the restore began.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the recovery selection dependency at the catalog evidence layer. Using the current backup catalog, restoring to the required point, and validating the result aligns catalog metadata, backup-chain selection, recovery execution, and readiness evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 65

A regional sports merchandise company runs SAP HANA for order trend analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but the operations team notices that one monitoring view reports normal CPU behavior while another workload history view shows a short spike during the same reporting interval. Users report that dashboards were slow only during that interval. A support analyst proposes increasing capacity because the workload history view shows a peak.

The constraint is that the administrator must reconcile conflicting monitoring evidence before recommending a configuration or capacity change. The next reporting cycle must remain available while the cause is validated.

Which action best fits the observed monitoring conflict?

- A.** ncrease capacity immediately because any workload spike proves that the database is under-provisioned.
- B.** gnore the workload history because the current CPU monitoring view shows normal behavior.
- C.** estart SAP HANA before the next reporting cycle so both monitoring views begin from the same runtime state.

D. ompare the monitoring time windows and workload evidence, identify the source of the spike, and apply a targeted correction only after validation.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the evidence conflict at the monitoring interpretation layer. Aligning time windows and workload records supports a targeted correction that connects observed performance, resource behavior, and validation before the next cycle.

NEW QUESTION: 66

CHALLENGE 4; Receipt-to-Invoice Continuity During Transition

During overlap-period rehearsal, one plant shows clean invoice continuity for goods received near the transition weekend, while another plant shows less stable invoice results for comparable procurement cases. Reviewers find that the weaker cases were prepared under different upstream source, purchasing, and receipt assumptions. What is the best next action?

- A.** ncrease invoice-processing speed targets so both plants complete more transactions before comparison
- B.** lign upstream sequence assumptions and repeat receipt-to-invoice rehearsal for representative overlap-period cases
- C.** gnore plant differences and validate only the aggregate invoice completion count for the weekend
- D.** ove all overlap-period invoice handling to local finance teams so cases can be resolved faster

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The scenario identifies invoice instability as a downstream effect of earlier sequence differences. Repeating representative cases after aligning source, purchasing, and receipt assumptions addresses the second-order dependency and tests whether continuity is truly stable.

NEW QUESTION: 67

A manufacturing firm uses SAP HANA Cloud for analytics and an on-premises SAP HANA system for operational reporting. After a weekend parameter change, users report that a recurring operational report now completes, but much more slowly than before. Monitoring shows the database is available, CPU is elevated during the report window, and memory consumption remains within expected limits. A junior administrator proposes increasing system resources immediately.

The team lead wants the least disruptive action first because the slowdown affects only one workload pattern, not the entire database. The administrators can review runtime indicators and configuration settings through the available administration tools.

Which action is the best first step?

- A.** ncrease database resources first because the higher CPU usage proves the current sizing is no longer sufficient.

- B.** roll back the recent parameter change immediately without validating whether it is linked to the report slowdown.
- C.** compare the changed configuration with current workload behavior and validate whether the parameter shift altered execution characteristics for that report pattern.
- D.** restart the affected database so that the report executes with a clean runtime state and lower CPU utilization.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The artifact pattern is selective degradation: one recurring report slows down, while the database remains available and memory stays normal. That points to a configuration-to-execution effect, not a broad platform failure. The best first step is to correlate the recent parameter change with workload behavior and validate whether execution characteristics changed for that report pattern. This keeps disruption low and follows the constraint of targeted diagnosis before broad corrective action.

NEW QUESTION: 68

CHALLENGE 1 Template Configuration Record Alignment The remediation coordinator wants to release the template because sales-margin reports return results from both the upgraded central system and the newly installed database. The administration records do not yet confirm that both systems use the intended configuration state. Which decision best supports release readiness?

- A.** release the template because report results confirm the databases are usable.
- B.** confirm aligned configuration records before using report results as release evidence.
- C.** use the SAP HANA Cloud provisioning record to complete the missing template evidence.
- D.** release the template and document configuration differences only if users report delays.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Aligned configuration records should be confirmed before report results are used as release evidence. The remediation decision depends on whether the template reflects documented SAP HANA states, not only report availability.

NEW QUESTION: 69

A regional equipment leasing company uses SAP HANA for contract analytics. The administrator performs a recovery rehearsal in a validation environment. The restore completes, but the validation notes show that the backup set was selected manually from an older catalog entry rather than from the recovery point required by the operations policy. The recovered database opens successfully, and the business analyst confirms that sample reports can run.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove recovery readiness for the required point, not merely demonstrate that some backup can be restored. The rehearsal result will be used to approve the next backup policy review.

Which action best corrects the recovery validation?

- A.** ccept the rehearsal because the database opened successfully and reports can run after restore.
- B.** identify the backup chain for the required recovery point, rerun the restore from that chain, and validate the recovered state.
- C.** reate a new manual backup and use it as the recovery baseline for the backup policy review.
- D.** epeat the same restore and add more sample report checks to prove the recovered database is usable.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This corrects the recovery evidence at the backup-chain selection layer. Restoring from the required recovery point and validating the recovered state confirms that catalog selection, backup retrieval, recovery execution, and policy evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 70

A regional commercial cleaning company uses SAP HANA for workforce allocation analytics in an on-premises environment. After planned maintenance, SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, and users can refresh dashboards. During validation, the administrator finds that the post-start checklist was completed from an administrator workspace that still points to the previous maintenance profile. The active operations profile used by the scheduled administration cycle has not been validated after startup.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove the active operations profile is ready for scheduled administration. The activity cannot be closed based on evidence from a workspace that is no longer the operational reference.

Which action best resolves the post-start validation issue?

- A.** lose the activity because SAP HANA cockpit shows availability and dashboard refresh succeeds.
- B.** alidate the active operations profile after startup, rerun the scheduled administration checks, and record the evidence.
- C.** estart the database so the previous maintenance profile automatically becomes aligned with the operations profile.
- D.** eep using the previous maintenance profile until the next scheduled administration cycle completes.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the profile-binding layer used by routine administration. Validating the active operations profile and rerunning scheduled checks confirms that startup, administration context, scheduled execution, and closure evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 71

A regional rail services company uses SAP HANA for maintenance planning analytics. The administrator reviews recovery readiness after a backup configuration update. SAP HANA cockpit shows a recent successful data backup, and log backups are being created. During validation, the

recovery checklist shows that the log backup location recorded for restore operations differs from the active log backup destination now used by the system. The operations team suggests approving readiness because both data and log backups exist.

The constraint is that the administrator must prove that restore procedures reference the active backup locations before accepting recovery readiness. The team must avoid a situation where backups exist but the documented recovery path cannot retrieve them.

What should the administrator do next?

- A. approve recovery readiness because the system has recent data and log backup artifacts.
- B. update and validate the recovery checklist against the active log backup destination, then rerun restore-path validation.
- C. disable log backup creation until the documented restore location and active destination match again.
- D. create another data backup and use its catalog entry as the primary recovery evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the restore-path mismatch at the recovery procedure layer. Updating and validating the checklist against the active destination confirms that backup creation, location documentation, retrieval path, and restore validation are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 72

CHALLENGE 3; Cloud Modernization Evidence Boundary The leadership update needs to cover current hypercare readiness and future SAP HANA Cloud reporting migration. The on-premises hypercare systems have backup and monitoring evidence, while the cloud database has provisioning and sample data only. Which action best supports accurate decision-making?

- A. combine all evidence into one readiness status so the leadership update is easier to read.
- B. separate current hypercare readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness in the update.
- C. treat cloud provisioning as proof that the migration stream is ready for reporting transition.
- D. delay hypercare acceptance until SAP HANA Cloud carries the same outage analytics workload.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The update should separate current hypercare readiness from SAP HANA Cloud migration readiness. The evidence supports different lifecycle decisions and should not be merged into one conclusion.

NEW QUESTION: 73

A consumer goods company runs an on-premises SAP HANA database for core operational processing and uses SAP HANA Cloud for newer analytical workloads. During a scheduled maintenance window, the database administrator completes preparatory upgrade steps on the

on-premises system, but the post-maintenance validation shows that the database services are available while the planned upgrade state has not been reached consistently.

One lifecycle check still reports the previous software level, and dependent validation tasks remain blocked. The operations lead wants the issue resolved within the same window without introducing unnecessary rollback risk. The team can use both administration interfaces and command-level tooling, but they must confirm the upgrade state before handing the system back. What is the best next action?

- A.** restart the full database landscape again and assume the remaining lifecycle indicator will update after all services come back online.
- B.** proceed with handover because service availability is the primary success criterion and version alignment can be verified later.
- C.** validate the incomplete lifecycle step, confirm which upgrade phase did not finalize correctly, and complete that phase before release.
- D.** restore the pre-maintenance backup immediately because any lifecycle mismatch means the upgrade attempt has already failed.

Answer: C ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

The artifact is not a simple outage. Services are available, but the lifecycle state is inconsistent because one check still shows the prior software level. The correct response is to validate which upgrade phase did not complete and finish that phase before release. This addresses the upstream dependency: lifecycle completion must align with runtime availability before validation tasks can succeed.

NEW QUESTION: 74

A regional healthcare analytics team uses SAP HANA for overnight reporting. SAP HANA cockpit shows normal database availability, but the monitoring dashboard has not updated several performance indicators since the previous evening. Users can still run reports, and no service outage is visible. A support analyst suggests ignoring the stale indicators because the database is responding to queries.

The constraint is that the administrator must restore trustworthy monitoring before the next overnight reporting cycle. The team needs evidence that monitoring data reflects the current system state, not only that the database can execute user requests.

Which action best addresses the monitoring issue?

- A.** investigate the monitoring collection state, correct the collection gap, and validate that current indicators update before the next cycle.
- B.** approve the next cycle because successful report execution proves that monitoring data is not required.
- C.** restart user reporting sessions so the dashboard can display fresh performance values from new executions.
- D.** increase alert thresholds until the stale indicators no longer affect operational review decisions.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#)**)**

Feedback:

This targets the monitoring data collection layer where the observable artifact exists. Correcting the collection gap and validating current indicator updates ensures that monitoring evidence, system state, and operational readiness are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 75

CHALLENGE 1; First Close Configuration Traceability During first close rehearsal, claims analytics reports load successfully from the upgraded SAP HANA system. The final administration record does not show whether the post-upgrade configuration adjustment was active during the rehearsal. What should the administrator do before accepting the close reporting evidence?

- A. ccept the evidence because successful report loading confirms close readiness.
- B. econcile the active configuration state with the final administration record before sign-off.
- C. emove the configuration adjustment from the review because reports loaded successfully.
- D. pply the SAP HANA Cloud setting to the upgraded system to standardize the landscape.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The administrator should reconcile the active configuration state with the final administration record before accepting the close evidence. Successful report loading does not confirm that the reporting result is tied to a documented SAP HANA database state.

NEW QUESTION: 76

A subscription services provider operates SAP HANA in a mixed environment. After a planned configuration adjustment intended to improve throughput, administrators observe that nightly batch processing finishes on time, but interactive analyst queries now show intermittent delays. Monitoring shows no broad system outage, and memory consumption remains stable. CPU peaks occur only during specific interactive workload windows.

The platform owner wants a correction that preserves the batch improvement while avoiding a broad reversal of the recent tuning changes. The team must decide based on actual workload behavior rather than a general assumption that the entire configuration set should be rolled back. What is the best next step?

- A. ompare the changed configuration against the affected workload pattern and adjust only the setting that is degrading interactive execution behavior.
- B. ncrease system memory allocation first because stable memory use indicates the system is close to its capacity boundary.
- C. everse all recent configuration changes immediately because any slowdown after tuning proves the full change set was invalid.
- D. ove the interactive workload to the cloud database without further analysis because the current landscape already supports mixed deployment.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:
https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**
Special Discount Code: freecram)

NEW QUESTION: 77

A university research center operates SAP HANA in a mixed landscape while preparing administrative standards for both an on-premises database and an SAP HANA Cloud environment. During a readiness review, the administrator notices that the operations checklist treats database availability, administration tooling access, backup review, and migration readiness as one generic 'SAP HANA ready' status. SAP HANA cockpit shows the on-premises system as available, while SAP HANA Cloud Central shows the cloud target as provisioned but not yet used for active workloads.

The constraint is that the administrator must avoid approving a readiness status that hides environment-specific responsibilities. The checklist must support clear operational validation without redesigning the landscape.

Which action best improves the readiness review?

- A. Approve the readiness status because both SAP HANA environments are visible in their respective administration tools.
- B. Use only the on-premises cockpit status because the active workload still runs on the on-premises SAP HANA database.
- C. Separate the checklist into environment-specific validation points and confirm the required evidence for each SAP HANA environment.
- D. Delay the readiness review until the SAP HANA Cloud target hosts the same workload as the on-premises database.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

This resolves the readiness ambiguity at the landscape validation layer. Separating evidence by environment ensures that availability, administration access, backup review, and migration readiness are validated against the correct SAP HANA target.

NEW QUESTION: 78

A regional publishing group runs SAP HANA for subscription analytics. A scheduled backup completes successfully, but the backup monitor shows a warning that the follow-up verification step did not finish before the operations cutoff. The administrator can see the backup artifact, and a supervisor suggests marking recovery readiness as complete because the backup itself exists.

The constraint is that the resilience report must confirm that the backup can be used in the recovery procedure, not only that a backup file was created. The report is due before the next business cycle starts.

Which action best supports the resilience report?

- A.** ark recovery readiness complete because the scheduled backup artifact is visible.
- B.** reate a manual backup immediately and ignore the failed verification step from the scheduled run.
- C.** omplete or rerun the backup verification step and record usable recovery evidence before marking readiness complete.
- D.** elay all resilience reporting until the next scheduled backup cycle completes without warnings.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This acts at the recovery validation layer. Completing or rerunning verification aligns backup creation, usability confirmation, recovery procedure evidence, and readiness reporting.

NEW QUESTION: 79

A regional consumer packaging company is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The transfer completes, and the cloud target can be queried. During review, the administrator finds that validation results were captured from a temporary administrator account used only for the rehearsal. The planned operations team will use a different cloud administration identity after cutover, and no checks have been run with that identity.

The constraint is that the migration wave must prove repeatable post-migration administration, not only successful rehearsal access. The team wants the same validation pattern to be reused for later migration waves.

Which recommendation is most appropriate?

- A.** pprove the rehearsal because the temporary administrator account proved that the cloud target can be queried.
- B.** un the target administration checks with the planned operations identity, record the results, and approve only after those checks pass.
- C.** eep the temporary administrator account for production cutover so the successful rehearsal procedure remains unchanged.
- D.** epeat the data transfer with the same temporary account to confirm that target query access is consistent.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This validates the identity and execution path that will be used after migration. Running checks with the planned operations identity confirms that target access, monitoring review, backup review, and repeatable post-migration administration evidence are aligned.

NEW QUESTION: 80

CHALLENGE 3; Quota and Source Coordination for Multi-Vendor Materials; During isolated testing, a seasoning blend behaves acceptably with more than one approved supplier. During cross-plant cutover rehearsal, however, purchasing activity begins concentrating around one operationally convenient vendor even though the intended design expects shared supplier usage. What is the best interpretation?

- A. the multi-vendor design is working because at least one approved supplier is being used successfully
- B. the team should validate whether quota-related sourcing setup and source coordination remain aligned once cross-plant operational demand is introduced
- C. the project should remove multi-vendor materials from the first live week to simplify supplier communication
- D. the concentration on one vendor proves that the original sourcing design was unnecessarily complex

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The scenario shows acceptable behavior in isolated testing but divergence under cross-plant operational demand, which points to a coordination dependency rather than a simple supplier-performance question. Validating quota-related setup and source coordination under realistic demand is the correct next step.

NEW QUESTION: 81

CHALLENGE 4; Shipping-Cycle Recovery Evidence Gate; The business sponsor asks whether the release decision can proceed because the systems are available and backups completed. The administration lead wants startup behavior after recovery documented first. Which response best fits the scenario?

- A. proceed because availability and backup completion together are enough for release evidence.
- B. complete recovery validation and startup behavior documentation before final approval.
- C. proceed now and validate startup behavior during the later cloud migration phase.
- D. remove recovery evidence from the release package because cutover testing is not daily production.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Recovery validation and startup behavior documentation should be completed before final approval. The scenario requires continuity evidence that goes beyond availability and backup completion.

NEW QUESTION: 82

A regional scientific instruments company is planning an incremental migration rehearsal from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The source system export completes, and the cloud target is reachable in database explorer. Before import approval, the administrator discovers that the migration worksheet records the target connection test but does not record

whether the target administration baseline was captured before loading migrated data. The project lead wants to continue because target access is confirmed.

The constraint is that the rehearsal must preserve a clean before-and-after comparison for the cloud target. The team must be able to distinguish pre-import target readiness from post-import migrated-state validation.

- A.** Continue with import because database explorer confirms the SAP HANA Cloud target is reachable.
- B.** Repeat the source export because missing target baseline evidence means the source data is unreliable.
- C.** Capture the target administration baseline before import, then record post-import validation separately for the migrated state.
- D.** Defer the target baseline until after import because the migrated state is the only state that matters for approval.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the migration validation sequencing gap. Capturing the pre-import administration baseline and recording post-import evidence separately aligns target readiness, import execution, migrated-state validation, and repeatable migration controls.

NEW QUESTION: 83

CHALLENGE 1; SIT Configuration State Comparison The SIT lead wants to sign off the first test cycle because baggage and gate reports opened successfully. The administration team later finds that the upgraded system's configuration record does not match the state used during the test. Which decision best supports SIT evidence quality?

- A.** Sign off the cycle because successful report opening is the primary database validation point.
- B.** Update the configuration record and reassess whether the SIT results were produced under the intended state.
- C.** Replace the upgraded system's record with the SAP HANA Cloud provisioning evidence.
- D.** Ignore the record because SIT should validate reporting behavior rather than administration evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The team should update the record and reassess the SIT results against the intended database state. This preserves the dependency between configuration evidence and reporting conclusions.

NEW QUESTION: 84

CHALLENGE 4; Service Continuity Recovery Gate

The service lead can accept hypercare readiness on schedule using backup completion evidence, or delay briefly to include recovery validation for the stabilization database and recoverability evidence for the upgraded system. Which path is most defensible?

- A.** accept on schedule because meeting the service review date is the strongest governance outcome.
- B.** delay briefly to complete recoverability evidence for systems supporting the readiness package.
- C.** accept on schedule and attach recovery validation to the future SAP HANA Cloud migration plan.
- D.** cancel the readiness decision because recovery validation was not completed before testing began.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

A brief delay is most defensible because it completes recoverability evidence for systems supporting the readiness package. This balances schedule governance with operational resilience evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 85

CHALLENGE 3; Cloud Modernization Evidence Boundary The modernization lead wants the SAP HANA Cloud database included in the same readiness status because it is provisioned and has a limited outage analytics dataset. The cloud environment has not completed the startup, monitoring, or recovery evidence package used for active hypercare systems. What is the best response?

- A.** include the cloud database in the same status because provisioning and sample data show progress.
- B.** keep cloud modernization evidence separate until cloud-specific administration validation is completed.
- C.** use the upgraded service reporting system's monitoring record as the SAP HANA Cloud readiness record.
- D.** stop cloud planning until the current hypercare readiness decision is fully completed.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Cloud modernization evidence should remain separate until cloud-specific administration validation is completed. Provisioning and sample data support planning, but they do not equal active hypercare readiness.

NEW QUESTION: 86

A regional beverage distributor installs an SAP HANA database system for a new analytics rollout. The installation log shows a completed installation task, and SAP HANA cockpit access is available. During handover, the administrator finds that the lifecycle record contains the installation timestamp but does not include the post-installation administration validation result. The analytics team wants to begin loading test data immediately.

The constraint is that the system must be handed over only after installation completion is connected to administration readiness. The handover record must show that the installed database is ready for controlled configuration and workload preparation.

Which action is most appropriate before handover?

- A.** begin loading test data because installation completed and SAP HANA cockpit access is available.
- B.** capture the missing post-installation administration validation result and update the lifecycle record before releasing the system for controlled preparation.
- C.** repeat the installation task so the lifecycle record receives a newer timestamp.
- D.** reset the lifecycle timestamp as sufficient because it proves that the installation task was completed.

Answer: [\(SHOW ANSWER\)](#)

Feedback:

This resolves the lifecycle handover gap at the post-installation validation layer. Capturing administration validation aligns installation completion, readiness evidence, configuration preparation, and workload onboarding.

NEW QUESTION: 87

CHALLENGE 3; Reporting Performance Evidence Control The database owner wants performance improvements recorded as part of hypercare success, while the operations lead wants stabilization evidence preserved before tuning. Which decision best balances performance and evidence quality?

- A.** apply tuning and record the improved response time as the main hypercare result.
- B.** reserve current monitoring evidence, validate thresholds, then document any tuning as a controlled follow-on change.
- C.** reject all performance changes until the SAP HANA Cloud transition is complete.
- D.** use the cloud reporting test results to replace the on-premises hypercare performance record.

Answer: **B** [\(LEAVE A REPLY\)](#)

Feedback:

The best decision preserves stabilization evidence before any tuning is documented as a controlled follow-on change. This balances reporting performance needs with the need for reliable hypercare evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 88

A consumer goods company runs SAP HANA for daily operational dashboards in a mixed landscape. The database remains available, but SAP HANA cockpit shows repeated alert notifications for disk usage growth in a data volume. The administrator checks recent workload activity and confirms that dashboard execution still completes successfully. A support analyst proposes acknowledging the alerts because no user-facing outage has occurred.

The constraint is that the administrator must prevent the monitoring artifact from becoming an execution-impacting condition while avoiding unnecessary database restart activity during business hours. The operations manager needs evidence that the alert is being handled at the correct system layer before the next reporting cycle begins.

Which action best addresses the monitoring condition?

- A.** acknowledge the alert and wait for user reports because dashboard execution currently completes successfully.
- B.** investigate volume growth and related workload activity, correct the capacity condition, and validate that the alert state clears.
- C.** restart the database so disk usage indicators refresh before the next reporting cycle begins.
- D.** increase the alert threshold so the current warning no longer appears during normal dashboard execution.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

This acts at the operational resource layer indicated by the alert artifact. Reviewing growth behavior, correcting the capacity condition, and validating alert clearance confirms that monitoring, resource state, and execution readiness are aligned before the next reporting cycle.

NEW QUESTION: 89

CHALLENGE 4; Release Recoverability Evidence Gate The upgraded reporting system has recent backup completion evidence but no current recovery validation record. The newly installed UAT database has a recovery test scheduled before sign-off. Which interpretation is most accurate?

- A.** Both systems have equivalent recoverability evidence because both are covered by backup scheduling.
- B.** The UAT package should distinguish backup completion from validated recoverability for each system.
- C.** The upgraded system can inherit recoverability evidence from the newly installed UAT database.
- D.** Recovery validation can be skipped because the systems are still in a test decision window.

Answer: B (LEAVE A REPLY)

Feedback:

The UAT package should distinguish backup completion from validated recoverability for each system. Backup evidence and recovery evidence support different readiness claims.

NEW QUESTION: 90

CHALLENGE 1; UAT Configuration Evidence Reconciliation The upgraded reporting system and the newly installed UAT database both pass connection checks. The upgraded system's configuration value exists in a release note, while the UAT database value exists in the administration record. Which validation sequence is most appropriate?

- A.** Accept connection checks, then update configuration records after UAT sign-off.
- B.** Confirm active states, reconcile administration records, then accept comparison evidence.
- C.** Apply the UAT database value to the upgraded system before reviewing existing evidence.
- D.** Use the SAP HANA Cloud dataset as the comparison reference for both systems.

Answer: (SHOW ANSWER)

Feedback:

The correct sequence is to confirm active states, reconcile records, and then accept comparison evidence. This keeps the UAT result tied to documented SAP HANA database states.

NEW QUESTION: 91

CHALLENGE 3; Reporting Performance Evidence Control Morning shift-handover reports run slower than expected, and the monitoring summary uses some threshold references from the pre-upgrade reporting profile. The field-service group asks for immediate tuning before hypercare closure. What should the administrator do first?

- A. validate monitoring thresholds against the current post-upgrade reporting profile before deciding on tuning.
- B. tune memory and workload settings immediately because field-service reporting has business priority.
- C. leave hypercare because the database is available and only one reporting window is affected.
- D. disable the older threshold references so the summary shows only current availability status.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

Threshold relevance must be validated before tuning decisions are accepted. The scenario shows that performance interpretation depends on whether monitoring evidence reflects the current post-upgrade reporting profile.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam! EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the **newest** EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: freecram)

NEW QUESTION: 92

A regional freight operator uses SAP HANA for dispatch analytics. After a storage maintenance activity, SAP HANA cockpit shows that backup scheduling is still active, but the next backup job fails with an access message for the configured backup location. The backup catalog still lists earlier successful backups, and the operations team suggests proceeding because historical backup evidence remains visible.

The constraint is that the administrator must restore backup execution readiness before the next reporting cutover. The team must not confuse catalog visibility with the ability to create and retrieve new backup artifacts after the storage change.

What should the administrator do next?

- A. validate and correct access to the configured backup location, then run and verify a new backup job.

- B. Accept the backup state because the catalog still displays earlier successful backup entries.
- C. Restart SAP HANA cockpit so the failed backup status can be cleared from the monitoring view.
- D. Increase the backup schedule frequency so a later job can succeed without changing the storage configuration.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This acts on the storage access dependency that prevents backup execution. Correcting access and verifying a new backup confirms that scheduling, destination reachability, backup creation, and validation evidence are aligned after maintenance.

NEW QUESTION: 93

CHALLENGE 3 Cloud Planning Evidence Separation The business sponsor wants one readiness statement covering first close sign-off and future SAP HANA Cloud reporting transition. The current close systems have backup and monitoring evidence, while the cloud database has provisioning evidence only. Which action best supports accurate planning?

- A. Combine all evidence into one readiness statement to simplify sponsor review.
- B. Separate first close readiness from cloud transition readiness in the decision package.
- C. Use cloud provisioning as proof that the modernization workstream is ready for reporting.
- D. Delay first close sign-off until SAP HANA Cloud has the same reporting workload.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The decision package should separate first close readiness from cloud transition readiness. The evidence supports different lifecycle decisions and should not be merged into one conclusion.

NEW QUESTION: 94

A regional equipment rental company maintains SAP HANA for operational analytics. After a planned configuration update, the database starts successfully, but a post-start administration screen shows one configured service parameter as inactive. The change record includes the intended value, and the administrator who entered the change still has the required access. A team member suggests closing the task because startup completed without errors.

The constraint is that the administrator must confirm that the configured value is active after startup, not merely recorded in the change documentation. The resolution must address the difference between configuration entry, service activation, and post-start validation.

Which action best resolves the configuration issue?

- A. Close the task because database startup completed without errors.
- B. Reconfirm that the administrator has access to enter the configuration value.
- C. Record the intended value again in the change document and schedule a later review.
- D. Activate or reapply the configuration through the correct administration path, verify the service shows the intended active value, and update the change evidence.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This addresses the configuration, activation, and validation layers together. Applying the setting through the correct administration path and verifying the active value ensures the system state matches the change record.

NEW QUESTION: 95

A regional equipment rental company is rehearsing migration from SAP HANA on-premises to SAP HANA Cloud. The transfer completes, and the cloud target can be queried through database explorer. During validation, the administrator finds that the rehearsal workbook records the source export time and target query result, but it does not record when target monitoring and backup checks were performed relative to the import. The project lead wants approval because the query result matches expectations.

The constraint is that the migration wave must prove target administration readiness for the migrated state. The validation pattern must support later migration waves without ambiguity about whether operational checks were performed before or after import.

Which recommendation is most appropriate?

- A. approve the rehearsal because the target query result proves that the cloud database contains usable migrated data.
- B. repeat only the source export because missing timing evidence indicates that the transfer sequence was unreliable.
- C. record post-import timing for target monitoring and backup checks, rerun any checks with unclear timing, and approve only after evidence matches the migrated state.
- D. defer timing evidence until production cutover because the current rehearsal already proves query access.

Answer: C ([LEAVE A REPLY](#))

Feedback:

This resolves the migration validation timing issue. Recording post-import timing and rerunning unclear checks aligns transfer completion, target monitoring, backup readiness, and repeatable approval evidence.

NEW QUESTION: 96

CHALLENGE 1; Supplier Allocation Readiness for Shared Material Demand During cutover rehearsal, two plants generate recurring demand for the same packaging material family. Both plants have approved suppliers available, but only one plant follows the expected supplier distribution pattern once purchase documents are created. The sourcing lead wants to preserve a shared support model after go-live rather than rely on local buyer judgment.

What is the best first validation action?

- A. let the slower plant choose suppliers manually until the first live week is complete
- B. compare source-maintenance setup and purchasing conditions for the material across both plants before changing execution behavior
- C. reduce approval involvement for packaging purchases so supplier selection can move faster

D. assign one preferred supplier to both plants temporarily so cutover rehearsal can finish on time

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

The visible difference appears during purchasing execution, but the scenario points to earlier sourcing preparation as the likely cause. Comparing source-maintenance setup and purchasing conditions addresses the upstream dependency before changing live-operating behavior.

NEW QUESTION: 97

A regional online learning provider runs SAP HANA for learner activity analytics. SAP HANA cockpit shows the database as available, but a troubleshooting review shows that several slow report executions were recorded without related workload details in the monitoring history. The alert log exists, but the evidence needed to identify the statement pattern is incomplete for the affected interval. A support analyst suggests increasing timeout values because users eventually received their reports.

The constraint is that the administrator must restore diagnostic visibility before changing report or capacity settings. The next analysis window must be monitored with enough detail to connect performance symptoms to workload evidence.

Which action best addresses the troubleshooting evidence gap?

- A.** increase report timeout values so delayed executions can complete without generating more support tickets.
- B.** restart SAP HANA so the monitoring history begins collecting new entries from a clean runtime state.
- C.** enable or correct the required workload-detail collection, reproduce or monitor the affected interval, and validate diagnostic evidence.
- D.** lose the troubleshooting review because the alert log proves that performance monitoring detected the issue.

Answer: ([SHOW ANSWER](#))

Feedback:

This acts at the diagnostic collection layer indicated by the incomplete evidence. Correcting workload-detail collection and validating it during the affected interval aligns symptom detection, workload capture, troubleshooting analysis, and corrective decision-making.

Valid C_DBADM Dumps shared by EduDump.com for Helping Passing C_DBADM Exam!
EduDump.com now offer the **newest C_DBADM exam dumps**, the EduDump.com
C_DBADM exam **questions have been updated** and **answers have been corrected** get the
newest EduDump.com C_DBADM dumps with Test Engine here:

https://www.edudump.com/exams/SAP/C_DBADM/premium/ (216 Q&As Dumps, **35%OFF**

Special Discount Code: freecram)

